(1)

C.8.1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.3009 2004

PETITIONER

T. R. Ganjeer S/o Shri J.R.Ganjeer, aged about 47 years, Accountant of Block Education Office, Charama Dist. North bastar Kanker (C.G.) Resident of Block colony, Dist. North Bastar Kanker (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh through, Secretary Tribal welfare, Department D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Commissioner/director, Aadim Jati Anusuchit Jati, Vikas, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur Dist. Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Assistant Commissioner, Aadiwasi Vikas Aadim Jati Kalyan Vibhag, Kanker Dist North Bastar Kanker (CG.)
4. The Collector (Aadivasi Vikas Shakha) Kanker Dist. North Bastar Kanker (C.G.)
5. The Block Education Officer, Kanker, Dist. Kanker (C.G.)
6. Shri Jeewan lal yadav, Accuantant of Govt. Girls Higher Secondary School Charama Dist. Kanker. (C.G.)

P. R. No. 3052/04
Presented by Shri R.S. Patel
dated 2-9-04

RECEIVED COPY 9/9/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय छत्तीसगढ़ बिलासपुर

23

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3009/2004 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

24-9-2004

Shri R.S. Patel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to transfer of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner has been transferred from Block Education Office, Charama, District Kanker to Govt. Higher Secondary School, Sambalpur, District Kanker. He also submits that there are complaints against the respondent No.6 Jeevan Lal Yadav who has been posted in his place at Charma. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has filed Annexure – P/2 regarding complaints. He also submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 10 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Counsel submitted that the petitioner has not yet been relieved and he be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. He may submit in writing. Counsel undertakes to submit the application during the course of the day.

Having considered the facts and circumstances and material on record, it is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved he be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No.2628/2004 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy after written submission is filed.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Pathak

[पल देखिये